

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

GS HOLISTIC, LLC,

CASE NO. C23-0397JLR

Plaintiff,

## ORDER

V.

NWIN LLC, et al.,

## Defendants.

Before the court is Plaintiff GS Holistic, LLC’s (“GS Holistic”) motion for a 60-day extension of time to perfect service on Defendants NWIN LLC and Jaebum In (collectively, “Defendants”). (Mot. (Dkt. # 6).) The court GRANTS GS Holistic’s motion for an extension of time.<sup>1</sup>

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a

<sup>1</sup> Although the motion is noted for consideration on June 23, 2023 (*see id.*), the court sees no reason to delay issuing a decision on the motion. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 1* (authorizing the court to construe the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure “to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding”).

1 summons and a copy of the complaint and sets forth the specific requirements for doing  
2 so. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which service  
3 must be effectuated, states in relevant part:

4 If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the  
5 court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss  
6 the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be  
made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the  
failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

7 *Id.*

8 GS Holistic filed this action on March 16, 2023. (Compl. (Dkt. # 1).) As a result,  
9 Rule 4(m)'s 90-day deadline for effectuating service of process expired on Wednesday,  
10 June 14, 2023. Fed. R. Civ. P 4(m). GS Holistic represents that it has not served  
11 Defendants due to a mistake by its process server company. (Mot. ¶ 2.) The process  
12 server has agreed to send a request for rush service on Defendants. (*Id.* ¶ 3.) GS Holistic  
13 now asks the court to extend the Rule 4(m) deadline by 60 days to allow it to perfect  
14 service on Defendants “either personally or by publication.” (*Id.* ¶ 5.)

15 The court finds that GS Holistic has not demonstrated good cause for its failure to  
16 effectuate service before the Rule 4(m) deadline. Nevertheless, the court GRANTS GS  
17 Holistic’s motion for a 60-day extension of the deadline to perfect service (Dkt. # 6).<sup>2</sup>  
18 GS Holistic shall file proof of service on Defendants by no later than **August 13, 2023**.  
19 Failure to do so may result in the dismissal without prejudice of GS Holistic’s claims

20  
21 

---

<sup>2</sup> This order does not grant GS Holistic leave to serve Defendants by publication. If GS  
22 Holistic seeks to serve Defendants by publication, it must file a motion for leave to do so. *See*  
*Pascua v. Heil*, 108 P.3d 1253, 1257 (Wash. Ct. App. 2005) (explaining the standard for granting  
leave to serve a defendant by publication in Washington).

1 against Defendants. Further extensions of the deadline to serve Defendants will not be  
2 granted absent exceptional circumstances.<sup>3</sup>

3 Dated this 15th day of June, 2023.

4  
5   
6

7 JAMES L. ROBART  
8 United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

---

<sup>3</sup> Under Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 10(e)(3), the law firm, mailing address, and telephone number of the attorney preparing the paper should be printed or typed at the bottom of each page of a motion or other filing. In addition, Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 10(e)(5) requires line numbers in the left margin of each page of a motion or other filing. Here, the footer of GS Holistic's motion contains contact information for The Ticktin Law Firm, even though the only attorney who has appeared in this action is Brett C. Harris of Virgo Law LLC. (See Mot.; Dkt.) In addition, there are no line numbers on the pleading paper. (See Mot.) Accordingly, the court ORDERS counsel for GS Holistic to carefully review the court's local rules and to ensure compliance with those rules in all future filings. Failure to comply with the court's local rules may result in sanctions.